

## **SUMMARY OF WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS OF VIVIENNE JANE CLARKE**

The document highlights many concerns and requests the Examining Authority to:

### ALTERNATIVES

Require the Applicants to disclose all agreements giving rights over the pink land.

### FLOOD

Seek details of:

The “established hydrological evidence” that solar panels, specifically the types of panels they propose using, do not materially increase run off volumes, peak discharges or response times.

What other matters cause changes in hydrologic response which they claim are “primarily” (and presumably therefore not wholly) associated with ground cover rather than panels themselves.

What the Applicants consider to be “significant” drainage effects.

The underlying evidence, and the basis on which it was analysed, to reach the conclusion that the flood risk outside the Scheme area has been “robustly and appropriately considered”.

Whether the findings are supported by appropriate research and modelling of the actual area.

### MITIGATION

Ask:

How saplings and hedges requiring regular watering in the summer months to survive are going to be tended and how any assurances can be made binding.

Where the water will come from?

If by tanker, where are the figures for this in the traffic and other chapters?

How if the saplings and hedges die as anticipated in the OLEMP the statements as to effects of this type of planting mitigation can be accepted?

Who is expected to enforce commitments in the DCO if not complied with (as appears to have happened at the only large solar NSIP built so far).

### SOCIO-ECONOMIC

Ask Applicants to explain what benefits are expected to accrue to residents and businesses in this area (other than payments to owners of the pink land) in the

construction period and how they concluded that the Scheme would not result in significant adverse effects on local businesses or the wider local economy.

What are the economic skills and supply chain opportunities during the operational phase?

#### TRANSPARENCY AND CONSULTATION

Why they chose not to produce images which properly reflected the proposed BESS, panels and 400kV transformer in consultation materials.

#### CONCLUSION

The Examiners are asked not to accept the materials submitted by the Applicants and their consultants at face value and to have equal regard to the materials submitted by or on behalf of those who live in and love and know this area.